remuneration; to give them an opportunity to have better staffs, more research done, and generally to act in a more responsible manner than has been done in the past.

Putting aside the crockery of the teacup or the mug, I would say that the bicameral system is one which we have learned to live with, and is one to which the people of Maryland are quite accustomed. It is imbedded in our traditions and our history and it can be made to work satisfactorily if the other provisions of the Committee recommendations are accepted.

I would, therefore, on behalf of the majority of the Committee on the Legislative Branch, oppose the adoption of the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Committee Recommendation LB-1.

For what purpose does Delegate Grumbacher rise?

DELEGATE GRUMBACHER: I would like to ask for a roll call vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair will direct a roll call vote.

For what purpose does Delegate Bard arise?

DELEGATE BARD: Will there be an opportunity to explain one's vote on this occasion?

THE CHAIRMAN: There will not under the rules.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Committee Recommendation LB-1 which is to substitute the language in lines 8, 9 and 10 of Amendment No. 1 for section 3.01 of the committee recommendation.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of Amendment No. 1. A vote against the committee recommendation is a vote in favor of unicameralism. A vote No is a vote against the Committee amendment. A vote in favor of the committee recommendation is a vote in favor of bicameralism. A vote Aye is a vote in favor of unicameralism; a vote No, a vote in favor of bicameralism.

Are you ready for the question?

(Call for the question.)

Cast your votes. Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

(There was no response.)

The clerk will record the vote.

There being 39 votes in the affirmative, and 92 in the negative, the motion is lost. The amendment is rejected.

That concludes the debate on this amendment, and the Chair will recognize Delegate Powers.

DELEGATE POWERS: Mr. Chairman, I move the Committee of the Whole rise and report to the Convention that we still have under consideration Committee Recommendation LB-1.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

(Whereupon, the motion was seconded.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?

All those in favor, signify by saying Aye; contrary, No. The Ayes have it. It is so ordered.

(Whereupon, at 6:25 P.M. the Committee of the Whole rose, and the Convention reconvened.)

(The mace was replaced by the Sergeant-at-Arms.)

PLENARY SESSION NOVEMBER 7, 1967—6:25 P.M. PRESIDENT H. VERNON ENEY, PRESIDING

THE PRESIDENT: The Convention will please come to order. On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, I desire to report that it still has under consideration Committee Recommendation No. LB-1, and desires permission of the Convention to sit again.

Before recognizing Delegate Powers for the purpose of moving adjournment, I desire to announce that we are honored to have on the Floor this afternoon Senator Edward T. Hall, Minority Leader of the Senate; Senator Roy W. Staton; Delegate William Evans; Delegate Daniel Minnich; and Delegate Harry Nice. Delighted to have you with us.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Powers.

Are there any announcements by Committee Chairmen? Delegate Kiefer.

DELEGATE KIEFER: Mr. President, the meeting of the Committee on Personal Rights and the Preamble will be moved